

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,701	08/31/2001	Jun Kawaguchi	M 6712 HST/NI PCT/US	1007	
. 75	590 10/18/2004		EXAM	INER .	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ZHENG, LOIS L		
46TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1742		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicantio Digital Application No. Applicantio Digital Application No. Digital Di		Applicat	ion Na		<i>_</i>				
Examiner Lois Zhang The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				Applicant(s)	., ブ				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(sc). In no week, however, may a may be timely fixed and set 50 kg, 00 MONTHS born be aming that of this communication. - If NO period to reply its provided and the set of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(sc). - If NO period to reply its provisions a laws but this (50) along a reply with the stablety minimum of the born and share of the correlations. It is not the set of the correlation of the set of the s	Office Action Summany	L		KAWAGUCHI ET AL.	•				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE WAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The period for reply specified above is less the thirty Option 49 and party and elegists (b) (MCMTPS from the maling date of this communication the became Advanced to the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the malining date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the malining date of this communication. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action of calculation is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examine	er .	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estationist of time may be available used the procession of 3 CFR 1 130(a). In role went, however, may a riply be timely filed after 50 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the proof or payl specified show to less than think of 3 CFR 1 130(a). In role went, however, may a riply be timely filed after 50 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the proof or payl specified show to less than the remailing date of this communication. If the proof of t	The state that the party and								
HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entertained from may be available under the precisions of 3 CPR 1.13(g). In one event, however, may a ruply be limitly filed Entertained from may be available under the precisions of 3 CPR 1.13(g). In one event, however, may a ruply be limitly filed Entertained from may be available under the precision of a ruply with the statistory minimum on their (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is these than they (30) days, a reply within the statistory minimum on their (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is pecified above is the submitted by a reply within the statistory minimum on their (30) days will be considered intelligent to the proposed of the propos	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	lss				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449 or PTO/SBI08) 8) Mental All Drawing Prodesion (PTO-152) 6) Other:	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set of the se	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ys, a reply within the stary period will apply and by statute, cause the an	vent, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ARANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm	unication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 27 July 2004		•					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)			non-final						
Disposition of Claims 4)	·								
Application of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2 ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 □ Other:					51110 10				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		•	,						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	<u> </u>	o application							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			ensideration	•					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. * Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004.		nuidiawii itolii C	msideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	<u> </u>								
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:	•								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and/or election	requirement						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S Patent and Trademark Office		and or ciccion i	equirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Fallower and Trademark Office.	· _								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27. July 2004. Sepatent and Trademark Office	•		_						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) S Patent and Trademark Office S Patent and Trademark Office									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. 5 Patent and Trademark Office	11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.				
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: \] 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. \] 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No \] 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) \] 2) \[Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) \] 3) \[Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) \] Paper No(s)/Mail Date \(\frac{27 \text{ July 2004}}{2004}. \] 5. \[Patent and Trademark Office \] **Seleten and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. 5. Patent and Trademark Office		oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office	<u> </u>	uments have bee	en received						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S Patent and Trademark Office									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office				eu iii tiiis National Sta	ge				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			,	ad					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Mark W								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	<u> </u>								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 July 2004. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		948)							
	 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>27 July 2004</u>. 		5) Notice of Informal F		2)				
	TOL 000 (D	ffice Action Summa	i ry Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 1	0062004				

Art Unit: 1742

3

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 July 2004 has been entered.

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1 and 6-23 remain for examination
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 11, 18 and 21 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to WO 98/09006(WO '006) in view of Yamamoto et al. US 4,517,030(Yamamoto) and Bittner et al. US 5,152,849(Bittner). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Status of Previous Rejections

3. The rejections of claims 1, 6-10, 12-17, 19-20 and 22-23 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) based on WO 91/19836 A1 or Kanamaru et al. are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment filed on 27 July 2004.

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 6-10, 12-17, 19-20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '006.

WO '006 discloses a method for electrochemical phosphating of metal surfaces using a phosphating solution comprising:

- $0.5 100 \text{ g/l Ca}^{2+}$;
- $0.5 100 \text{ g/l Zn}^{2+}$;
- $5 100 \text{ g/l PO}_4^{2+}$;
- $0 100 \text{ g/I NO}_3^{2+}$;
- $0 100 \text{ g/l ClO}_3^{2+}$; and
- 0 100 g/l F or Cl

and the temperature of the solution is 0-95°C, and the current density is between 0.1 and 250 mA/cm²(i.e. 0.01 – 25 A/dm²)(abstract, page 2, lines 6-22).

With respect to claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 14, 16, 19 and 22-23 of the instant invention, the disclosed ranges of Zn^{2+} , PO_4^{2+} , NO_3^{2+} and Zn^{2+}/PO_4^{2+} ratio of WO '006 encompass the claimed ranges of zinc, phosphoric acid, nitric acid and $\{Zn\}/\{H_3PO_4\}$ ratio of the instant invention as recited in claims 1, 6, 12, 14 and 22-23.

Art Unit: 1742

With respect to claims 7, 13 and 15 of the instant invention, the disclosed ranges of ClO₃²⁺; and F⁻/Cl⁻ of WO '006 encompass the claimed ranges of fluorine compounds and/or chloric acid and/or perchloric acid of the instant invention as recited in claims 7, 13 and 15.

With respect to claims 9-10, 17 and 20 of the instant invention, the disclosed operation temperature range of WO '006 encompasses the claimed temperature range and the disclosed current density range of WO '006 overlaps the claimed current density range, as recited in claims 9-10, 17 and 20 of the instant invention.

Therefore, a prima facie case of obviousness exists. See MPEP 2144.05. The selection of claimed phosphating composition ranges and operating condition ranges from the disclosed ranges of WO '006 would have been obvious to one skilled in the art since WO '006 teaches the same utilities in its' disclosed phosphating solution composition and operating condition ranges.

6. Claims 11, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '006 in view of Yamamoto and Bittner.

The teachings of WO '006 are discussed in paragraph 5 above.

However, WO '006 fails to teach the claimed weakly basic aqueous colloidal solution that contains titanium oxide, titanium hydroxide and zinc phosphate.

Yamamoto teaches a process for activating steel surfaces prior to phosphating treatment by contacting the steel substrate with an aqueous activating solution (title, abstract). The activating solution of Yamamoto comprises titanium hydroxide and

Art Unit: 1742

titanium oxide (col. 3 lines 13-18) in order to accelerate subsequent phosphate coating and refine phosphating coating crystals (col. 1 lines 8-15).

Bittner teaches a phosphating process during which zinc phosphate is used as an activating agent to prepare the substrate to form a firmly adhering and finely crystalline phosphate layer during the succeeding phosphating process (col. 3 lines 22-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added zinc phosphate of Bittner to the activation solution of Yamamoto in order to improve the adherence of the subsequent phosphating coating as taught by Bittner.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the activating step of Yamamoto in view of Bittner into the phsophating process of WO '006 in order to realize the benefits of accelerated and firmly adhering phosphate coating with refined coating crystals as taught by Yamamoto in view of Bittner.

7. Claims 1, 6, 8, 12, 14, 16, 19 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda US 5,645,706(Matsuda).

Matsuda discloses a phosphate chemical electrolytic treatment of metal substrate and at the same time, removing the sludge(title, abstract). The treatment solution of Matsuda comprises 4 – 150 g/l of phosphate ion, 3 – 150 g/l of nitrate ion, 1.5 – 40 g/l of metal ions such as zinc(col. 7, lines 37-45, col. 14, lines 6-10). The disclosed zinc ion, phosphate ion, nitrate ion and the ratio of zinc/phosphate ions overlap the claimed ranges of zinc, phosphoric acid, nitric acid and {Zn}/{H₃PO₄} ratio of the instant invention

Art Unit: 1742

as recited in claims 1, 6, 12, 14 and 22-23. Therefore, a prima facie case of obviousness exists. See MPEP 2144.05. The selection of claimed zinc, phosphoric acid, nitric acid and {Zn}/{H₃PO₄} ratio ranges from the disclosed ranges of Mastuda would have been obvious to one skilled in the art since Mastuda teaches the same utilities in its' disclosed zinc ion, phosphate ion, nitrate ion, and ranges.

8. Claims 11, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda in view of Yamamoto and Bittner.

The teachings of Matsuda are discussed in paragraph 7 above.

However, Matsuda fails to teach the claimed weakly basic aqueous colloidal solution that contains titanium oxide, titanium hydroxide and zinc phosphate.

The teachings of Yamamoto and Bittner are discussed in paragraph 6 above.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the activating step of Yamamoto in view of Bittner into the phsophating process of Matsuda for the same reasons as discussed in paragraph 6 above.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 8-10, 12, 14, 16-17, 20 and 22-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Speckmann et al. US 5,503733 disclose a process for electrolytically phosphating galvanized steel surfaces with a coating solution comprising 0.1-5~g/l

Art Unit: 1742

 Zn^{2+} , 5 – 50 g/l PO₄²⁺, 0.1 – 50 g/l NO₃²⁺. The coating process takes place at 10-80°C and with a current density of 0.01-100 mA/cm².

Saito et al. US 4,861,441 disclose a coating composition used in the method of making a black surface treated steel sheet. The coating composition comprises 10 – 100 g/l Zn²⁺.

Ataya et al. JP 62-050496 disclose a electrolytic treatment of metallic substrate using a coating solution comprising 0.1 – 50 g/l of zinc, 1.5 – 100 g/l of orthophosphoric acid and nitric acid. The coating process takes place at about 90°C and with a current density of 0-50 A/dm².

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lois Zheng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1742

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LLZ

ROY KING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700